

# MOUNTAIN RECREATION FACILITIES MASTER PLAN

## PUBLIC MEETINGS #4 & #5

Wednesday, May 25, 2016

Basin Fieldhouse 11am – 1pm (14 people signed in)  
PC MARC 5pm – 7pm (15 people signed in)

---

- A total of 12 comment forms were filled out and left with the planning team at the meetings.
- 18 people submitted comments on the “Comment Forum” on the project website.
- 8 people submitted comments via email.

### WILLOW CREEK

There is opposition to any expansion at Willow Creek Park due to safety issues. Residents say that increased traffic flow and parking is a major issue when regional events are hosted at the park. People park along both sides of the narrow neighborhood road during tournaments and community events, and fire trucks can't get down the street when that happens.

Important for open space.

It's a neighborhood park, not a regional park.

Score on Evaluation Criteria for neighborhood impacts and preservation of open space should be 0.

One of the few flat open space areas that taxpayers throughout Park City use for walking, running, biking and dog walking.

Strongly in favor of any additional park expansion in Willow Creek as a homeowner in that area. I was part of the initial committee to develop and design the park. Vocal minority against expansion, silent majority for expansion, just like when the park was developed originally.

### ECKER

Support for 50-meter leisure with aquatics.

Not a good place for aquatics. Too far to drive for workouts. Competition is the only use that makes sense for driving long distances.

Ecker is well run, has good parking with plenty of space to expand. Spread facilities around. Silver Creek is too far.

Ecker Hill is the best location given the existing pool, the land availability and the staff on site. With salary increases and existing staff, there is no need to duplicate the staff that would be required with a new location. This option eliminates significant overhead and salaries.

Ecker Hill is too crowded and the parking is a mess. I personally also don't like drawing strangers to a school campus. We should have a new aquatic facility that can support Olympic and professional athletes. It should include meet and warm up pools and a recreational pool, complete with water slides similar to those found in the Cottonwood Heights recreational facility. Many tourists are disappointed in

winter that there isn't a fun pool to take their kids to in an off mountain day. As a resort town, this is something we are really missing. Frankly it is surprising that the whole state of Utah doesn't have a water park like Great Wolf Lodge (Colorado Springs, Colorado has two). As a local, I'd be happy to pay for a quality public pool facility.

I'm 70 yrs old and go to water aerobics at Ecker Middle School almost 5 days a week. I'd like the pool area for the water aerobics and Master Class to remain there. My primary concern is traffic in winter. With the classes at Ecker I go against the traffic, primarily avoiding incoming skiers. If the pool for these activities is moved into town or out near Home Depot I'd be adding to the traffic trying to get to the ski resorts or I'd have to drive on 80 & 40. At my age I prefer, especially in winter, to drive on surface roads where the speeds are lower. I'd very much like a larger pool for us. As it is we often use a couple of the lap lanes. As the population grows I anticipate class participation will also grow. Thank you for your consideration.

### **PC MARC**

More tennis courts – get rid of lap pool.

No pool expansion at MARC.

PC MARC - Please keep the facility manageable in size, as it is located within a neighborhood. If the gym/multi-purpose space is expanded, please think of programming needs for the "tweens" age group. I am in favor of leaving the pools as-is.

Also, I would recommend B or D for the MARC - Gym space is hard to come by as well - there are already numerous Tennis courts available at the MARC, add Gym space for leagues etc, please don't take it away!!

### **QUINN'S/IHC**

Add more ice at Quinn's and add aquatics.

Convert ice to fieldhouse and add double ice sheet on IHC Parcel.

Quinn's Junction is already a "hub" for health and fitness, so adding more amenities there is a natural fit and has instant user base. (Advisory Committee Member)

Ice Arena - Let's wait and see what happens in Wasatch County (Brown's Canyon) with the proposed ice facility/hotel center. This may eliminate the need for additional ice sheets here, at least for a long time. If the ice arena does need to be expanded here in PC, I am in favor of using the IHC parcel and converting the existing ice arena into a field house.

Add more lighted fields there, as there is probably less disturbance than other locations, also, keep sports grouped together and lastly transportation in and out of there is pretty good, as there is already turn lanes and a stop light.

IHC Parcel plan A or B, add Ice near the existing Ice, again it could become a more frequent Bus route with increased demand.

### **24-ACRE PARCEL**

24 Acre Parcel Plan A has better use of the spaces - I would love to see you add some Sand Volleyball Courts there and on the KEARNS campus as many HS students and parents, enjoy Volleyball.

### **KEARNS CAMPUS**

Support for multi-purpose building and athletics support building at Kearns.

Create a more direct trail connection between the campus and quinn's junction for kids that would make better use of the proposed field space and athletic facilities there. (Advisory Committee Member)

Please minimize turf fields. I have heard that turf softball and baseball fields are needed, which would be great.

Additional tennis courts make sense.

DO NOT plan on a field house at this time. I understand the HS athletic director does not feel it would be fully programmed at this time. Let's expand the gym, build expanded support facilities and concessions under the bleachers, and do the tennis and softball/baseball fields. THEN we could assess if we actually do need more indoor spaces. If TMJH is torn down, I strongly feel this space should be used for academic needs and not athletic needs. We don't want too many athletic options all over town (including Kearns) and then run out of room for actual classroom buildings.

IF a field house needs to be planned on Kearns, it should be very small (one field and a couple classrooms) with room for possible expansion. Could CTE needs also be addressed in multipurpose spaces? Let's not pigeon-hole the space so that it can be flexible uses as needs change.

DO NOT change the North 40 Fields - leave as grass soccer fields.

With more fields and field houses proposed elsewhere, I think our current burden on facilities will lessen/spread out, leaving more availability for HS teams to use city and county facilities on short term basis without needing to build all of their own facilities.

Kearns Campus Scheme 1 or D with a Field House and Bubbled Tennis Courts - once again it would be great to add at LEAST two Sand Volleyball Courts, maybe they could be LIT and Bubbled in the winter as well!!!

Want a traditional running track open to the public. It would be fine if the High School track were open to the public when not in use.

### **AQUATICS**

Support for facility within Park City limits – more conducive to utilizing trails and transit.

Want indoor wave pool, climbing, jungle gym like Ogden facility.

Consider the operating budget necessary to run a 50 meter pool on such a relatively small population base. I am pro-pool and pro-50 meter pool. So tell me when the operating cost for these facilities gets considered? Ken Fisher once told me his budget for the MARC is to run at a \$350k annual deficit. Library, before remodel, \$500k deficit. When in this process does the City, County or School

District accept leadership responsibility for operating these new facilities. Capital funding is seems very easy in our community. But I worry about eyes wide open before we build say on Eckert and the district cannot/will not afford the operating losses.

Believes the Canyons site is a great location for an indoor leisure pool, and we would not need any other leisure pools (in addition to current PC MARC). Do not build leisure pools on school property.

Aquatics facilities should be a higher priority than ice facilities.

Of all the facilities we utilize, the swimming complex is most needed. The PC community truly would benefit from a 50 meter pool complex

### **CITY PARK**

If you are going to put the Senior Center there, which I think is a good idea, add the Pickle ball courts which are often used by Seniors.

Please leave City Park as it is. We need the green space. A new senior center community center day care complex would be better in Bonanza Park or Quinn's Junction. We need the open space in downtown. No need to put in another building and burden on parking, traffic, etc in the heart of PC. People who use it will be willing to drive or take the bus route and will increasing housing costs, it is less likely they will live walking distance to City Park as the years go by.

### **SILVER CREEK**

Does not see the need for an indoor football field on any parcel.

I would recommend Silver Creek Plan A with Aquatic Center and fields again to help with keeping recreation together and Bus Routes and Transportation.

### **TRIANGLE PARCEL**

Triangle Parcel - Isn't the soil contaminated here? I think this would make the location cost-prohibitive for anything too big.

I feel as if the Triangle Parcel is not a great place to put anything this go around- Ice can be kept together otherwise.

### **GENERAL**

Childcare at ALL facilities.

Support for dispersed-smaller scale facilities more.

Traffic, parking, and neighborhood impacts were mentioned several times.

Support for #4 Satellite Aquatics.

Racquetball please!!!

Rescoring update (Advisory Committee Member)

Major centers better than spread out (Advisory Committee Member)

Accessible pathways throughout the campuses because also connecting them and an adaptive playground and/or baseball field within the future designs. (Advisory Committee Member)

Trail connections between the major hubs (including and beyond the school and Quinns) should be considered as part of the plan as it could support traffic concerns and parents who potentially could have their kids bike between locations if their children had games on separate fields. (Advisory Committee Member)

Rock climbing/bouldering wall please.

The master plan is over the top and in my opinion. This is a small community that is talking about 2nd ice rink, olympic swimming pool, numerous fields, and a duplication of services.

Also, having meeting during the day and that start at 5 pm when most people haven't left work yet doesn't allow for much participation. Thirty-eight people does not speak for an entire community.

I think more information about costs need to be disclosed about each project.

Also, keeping areas of the same sport together as much as possible. If adding additional ice add near the existing ice, same for Soccer fields etc.

I was a bit disappointed, however, to see that equestrian facilities have not (yet) been included in the plan. There are so many adults and children who would benefit enormously from access to equestrian facilities, and their existence would enhance the reputation of the community. It is downright weird that Heber and Oakley have municipal equestrian facilities while Park City does not. I hope that such facilities will be included in the future. I live in Silver Creek and compete with two three-day event horses.

I like the idea of pushing Park City as a summer Olympic and Professional high altitude training center. We need the ice arena expanded so the NHL can train in the off season. I personally know of a professional basketball team that was interested in off season training here, but moved on due to insufficient basketball court facilities. We need sufficient aquatic facilities for training. We need a track available for training. I would like to see collaboration with the school district to create a master athletic facility plan to create well planned world class facilities with adequate parking on the bus route. Other possible locations to consider should be Matt Knoop Park or the empty land below the Olympic Park. I think it might be called the Park City Tech Park? (Over behind summit county library, between Walmart and the Olympic Park.). It would make sense by the Olympic Park. I'd be willing to give up run amuk dog park given how much off leash dog area there is per capita in this area.

We need a nice public sledding area. Maybe over by the Olympic Park?